UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

TODD D.,

Plaintiff, :

v. Case No. 2:23-cv-297

Judge Sarah D. Morrison

Magistrate Judge Peter B. Silvain

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

ORDER

Plaintiff brings this action under 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying his application for Social Security period of disability and disability insurance benefits. (ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff filed his Statement of Errors on May 15, 2023. (ECF No. 10.) The Commissioner filed a Memorandum in Opposition (ECF No. 12) and Plaintiff replied (ECF No. 13). On January 8, 2024, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation, recommending that the Court overrule Plaintiff's Statement of Errors and affirm the Commissioner's denial of benefits. (ECF No. 15.) Plaintiff timely filed his Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation. (ECF No. 16.)

If a party objects within the allotted time to a report and recommendation, the Court "shall make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28

U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). Upon review, the Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The Court's review "is limited to determining whether the Commissioner's decision 'is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards." Ealy v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 594 F.3d 504, 512 (6th Cir. 2010) (quoting Rogers v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 486 F.3d 234, 241 (6th Cir. 2007)); see also 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) ("The findings of the Commissioner of Social Security as to any fact, if supported by substantial evidence, shall be conclusive").

The Court has carefully reviewed the record and concludes that the decision of the Commissioner is supported by substantial evidence and was made pursuant to proper legal standards. The issues raised in Plaintiff's Objection were considered and correctly addressed by the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's Objection (ECF No. 16), **ADOPTS** the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 15), and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's decision. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to **TERMINATE** this case from the docket records of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Eastern Division.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sarah D. Morrison

SARAH D. MORRISON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE